Environmental and Social Impact Assessment

PUBLIC

Project Number: 58290-001 Draft August 2024

Uzbekistan: Samarkand 1 Solar PV and BESS Project

Appendixes – Part 3

Prepared by ACWA Power for the Asian Development Bank (ADB).

This environmental and social impact assessment report is a document of the borrower. The views expressed herein do not necessarily represent those of ADB's Board of Directors, Management, or staff, and may be preliminary in nature. Your attention is directed to the <u>"terms of use"</u> section of ADB's website.

In preparing any country program or strategy, financing any project, or by making any designation of or reference to a particular territory or geographic area in this document, ADB does not intend to make any judgments as to the legal or other status of any territory or area.





APPENDIX C – BASELINE SURVEY REPORTS

Samarkand Solar 1 ESIA & Samarkand Solar 2 ESIA

Soil and Water Assessment Report

Consulting Firm:

Juru

Juru Ltd Suite 1, One George Yard, London, United Kingdom, EC3V 9DF www.juruenergy.com Prepared for:



5 Capitals Environmental and Management Consulting Principal office: PO Box 119899 Sheikh Zayed Road, Dubai, UAE

www. 5capitals.com.

March 2024

Table of Contents

1	2	
2	3	
3	6	
	3.1	6
	3.2	8
4	9	
	4.1	9
	4.2	10
5	11	
6	12	
7	17	

Table of Figures

Figure 1 Location of soil and water samples for project site Samarkand 1	4
Figure 2 Location of soil and water samples for project site Samarkand 2	4
Figure 3 Location of soil and water samples for project site of BESS area.	5

Table of Tables

Table 1: Results of the soil analyses (Samarkand 1)	7
Table 2: Results of water analysis (Samarkand 1)	9
Table 3: Results of the soil analyses (Samarkand 2)	10
Table 4: Results of water analysis (Samarkand 2)	11
Table 5.Results of the soil analyses (BESS area)	12
Table 6. Maximum permissible concentrations of pollutants in water of surface water bodies by c	ategory
of use	14
Table 7: Dutch Standards for Soil and Groundwater Contamination (2013)	15

1 Introduction

An analysis of the soil and groundwater samples taken from identified locations within the project sites is provided in the report. Chemical and physical characteristics, including pH, nitrates, heavy metals, and chlorides with sulfates for groundwater, have been covered by the analysis. The results are compared to relevant national and international standards.

The projects area has a cold semi-arid climate (BSk), which is defined by long hot summers and cold winters with unstable snow cover. The average annual precipitation is 331mm. July typically sees average temperatures ranging from +25 to +28° C, while February records an average air temperature of 0°C.

The project sites encompass abandoned, non-irrigated arable lands and they are mainly used for grazing purposes. The vegetation in this region is characterized by a bluegrass-camel thorn community, featuring Alhagi pseudalhagi subsp. kirghisorum and Poa bulbosa, along with sporadic occurrences of harmel (Peganum harmala) and Cousinia resinosa. The plant distribution is scattered or clustered, exhibiting a limited species composition.

Regarding the hydrographic network in the project areas linked to the Samarkand depression, it includes the Zarafshan River, its Kardarya and Akdaryab branches, irrigation canals, and discharges. The groundwater deposits within the modern Zarafshan River valley and the surrounding territory consist of Quaternary sediments, reaching depths of at least 200 meters.

The predominant soil type at the Samarkand 1 and Samarkand 2 sites can be identified as light sierozem. Sierozem soils typically originate from loess parent material and tend to have alkaline pH conditions.

They are brownish gray at the surface characterized by a light topsoil layer low in humus content.

2 Soil and Water sampling

Soil samples were taken from 20 cm in accordance with the established State standard 17.4.4.02-2017 "Nature protection. Soils. Methods for sampling and preparation of soil for chemical, bacteriological, helminthological analysis". From each location, 0.5 kg of soil was collected.

Water sampling was carried out in accordance with the established State standard 31861-2012 "Water. General requirements for sampling". Water samples were collected and stored in 1 liter of plastic bottles. Figure 1 and 2 shows the locations and coordinates of the sample's points.





Name of sample	Coordinates
S1	39.572975° 66.737459°
S3	39.550541° 66.702101°
S4	39.547404° 66.690833°
S5	39.545242° 66.675963°
S6	39.457136° 65.982844°
S7	39.435259° 65.986082°
S8	39.445345° 65.973322°
GW2	39.554326° 66.704692°

Figure 1 Location of soil and water samples for project site Samarkand 1



Name of sample	Coordinates
S2	39.577156° 66.752873°
S9	39.433378° 65.963384°
S10	39.422601° 65.952905°
S11	39.411641° 65.941327°
GW1	39.425038° 65.974789°

Figure 2 Location of soil and water samples for project site Samarkand 2



Name of sample	Coordinates
S4	39.515917° 63.872771°
S5	39.516684° 63.869015°
S6	39.519455° 63.871714°

Figure 3 Location of soil and water samples for project site of Samarkand 2 Karakul BESS site

3 Results of soil and water analyses for Samarkand 1

3.1 Soil

The pH levels in the majority of the soil samples indicated a slightly alkaline condition, except for sample S3, which exhibited acidity with a pH value of 6.61.

The concentration of heavy metals, such as nickel (Ni), chromium (Cr), zinc (Zn), and copper (Cu), in all the samples that were examined is higher than the maximum permissible concentration set by standard SanPiN № 0191-05. According to national criteria, these metals fall into the category of moderately hazardous substances. However, it is notable that the concentrations of these metals remain below the acceptable international values, such as Dutch interventional values, except for copper(S4), which exceeds the MPC on the international scale. Specifically addressing copper (Cu) concentrations, the highest recorded value was observed in sample S4, reaching 1000 mg/kg.

In terms of nitrates, all sampled points consistently show low nitrate levels. Notably, S3 exhibits a relatively lower amount of nitrates compared to the other sampling points.

Dutch Locations The lower MPC in intervention Name of parameters limit of S3² Value / Target **S1 S4** S5 **S6 S7 S8** mg/kg detection Value¹ pН 7.36 6.61 7.34 7.90 7.39 7.54 7.95 1-14 -0.001 130.0 (gross Nitrate (NO₃), mg/dm³ 0.75 0.73 1.37 2.28 1.39 1.38 2.59 mg/dm³ content) Zinc (Zn), mg/kg 71.0 67.0 58.0 140 56.0 63.0 64.0 60.0 1.0 mg/kg 23,0 720 Chromium (Cr), mg/kg 54.0 51.0 57.0 52.0 60.0 59.0 57.0 1.0 mg/kg 6.0 180 Cadmium (Cd), mg/kg 0.200 0.300 0.350 0.200 0.350 0.250 0.200 0.005 mg/kg 13 0.8 -Copper (Cu), mg/kg 41.0 39.0 1000 39.0 35.0 35.0 310 1.0 mg/kg 3.0 190 36 1500.0 (gross Manganese (Mn), mg/kg 710 600 510 840 630 630 630 20 mg/kg content) Mercury (Hg), mg/kg 0.40 < 0.03 0.30 0.10 0.20 0.40 < 0.03 0.03 mg/kg 2.1 36 0.3

Table 1: Results of the soil analyses (Samarkand 1)

¹ Dutch Standards for Soil and groundwater Contamination (2013)

² The numerical order aligns with the site layout on the map and results of the laboratory analysis (e.g., S2 within the site Samarkand 2)

					The lower		Dut	tch			
Name of parameters	S1	S3 ²	S4	S5	S6	S7	S 8	limit of detection	MPC in mg/kg	intervo Value / Val	ention Target ue ¹
Nickel (Ni), mg/kg	52.0	38.0	33.0	42.0	40.0	39.0	39.0	1.0 mg/kg	4.0	100	35
Lead (Pb), mg/kg	21.0	17.0	16.0	23.0	22.0	18.0	16.0	0.1mg/kg	32.0	530	85

3.2 Groundwater

The observed groundwater sample, characterized by a pH of 7.45, displayed slight alkalinity, with concentrations of sulfates and chlorides falling below established permissible norms. Notably, the recorded low levels of chlorides and sulfates suggest a diminished salinity potential in the water. Additionally, the analysis revealed low concentrations of nitrates and ammonium, further indicating a limited presence of nitrogenous compounds. The concentrations of heavy metals in all the samples remained significantly below the Maximum Permissible Concentration (MPC), indicating the absence of detectable pollution with heavy metals in the analyzed water samples.

Table 4: Results of water analysis (Samarkand 2)

	рН	Nitra tes (NO3) mg/l	Chlo ride s (Cl-) mg/ I	Sulpha tes (SO42-) mg/l	Am mon ium NH4- mg/l	Cadm ium (Cd) mg/l	Chro miu m (Cr), mg/l	Coppe r (Cu) mg/l	Lead (Pb) mg/l	Manga nese (Mn), mg/l	Mercury (Hg) mg/l	Nickel (Ni) mg/l	Zinc (Zn) mg/l	Arsen ic (As) mg/l
The lower limit of detection	1-14	0.001	0.5	25-500	0.01	0.000 1	0.00 2	0.002	0.000. 2	0.0002	1*10 ⁻⁴	0.002	0.0002	0.000 1
Sample GW2	7.45	2	9	67	<0.05	0.000 1	0.06 8	0.002. 3	0.0002	1.8*10⁻ ₄	<1*10 ⁻⁴	0.0053	0.0005 7	0.005 7
MPC for Irrigation use	6.5-8.5	-	-	-	1.5	-	-	1	0.2	-	-	-	5	0.1
MPC Fishery water use	6.5-8.5	40	300	100	0.5	0.005	-	0.001	0.03	-	-	0,01	0.01	0.05
Dutch intervention Value	-	-	-	-	_	0.006	0.03	0.075	0.075	-	0.0003	0.075	0.8	0.06

4 Results of soil and water analyses for Samarkand 2 4.1 Soil

In all the examined samples, the concentrations of heavy metals, including nickel (Ni), chromium (Cr), zinc (Zn), and copper (Cu), exceed the Maximum Permissible Concentration (MPC) established by the SanPiN № 0191-05 standard. According to national standards, these metals are categorized as moderately hazardous substances. It is important to highlight that the concentrations of these metals, while exceeding national standards, remain below acceptable international values, such as Dutch intervention values. The concentration of manganese in sample S1 is the highest among the samples, reaching 1400 mg/kg, and it is approaching the maximum permissible concentration (MPC).

		Loca	tions		The lower		Dutch		
Name of parameters	S2	S9	S9 S10 S11		limit of detection	MPC in mg/kg	Intervention Value / Target Value ³		
рН	7.71	7.90	7.91	7.88	1-14	-	-	-	
Nitrate (NO₃), mg/dm³	1.56	2.64	2.60	2.60	0.001 mg/dm ³	130.0 (gross content)	-	-	
Sodium (Na), mg/kg	13000	11000	12000	11000	40 mg/kg	-	-	-	
Potassium (K), mg/kg	22000	16000	16000	16000	80 mg/kg	-	-	-	
Zinc (Zn), mg/kg	72.0	63.0	60.0	59.0	1.0 mg/kg	23,0	720	140	
Chromium (Cr), mg/kg	53.0	56.0	60.0	61.0	1.0 mg/kg	6.0	180	-	
Cadmium (Cd), mg/kg	0.350	0.200	0.400	0.051	0.005 mg/kg	-	13	0.8	
Copper (Cu), mg/kg	34.0	64.0	36.0	160	1.0 mg/kg	3.0	190	36	
Manganese (Mn), mg/kg	1400	640	600	580	20 mg/kg	1500.0 (gross content)	-	-	
Mercury (Hg), mg/kg	<0.03	0.70	0.20	0.50	0.03 mg/kg	2.1	36	0.3	
lron (Fe), mg/kg	37000	29000	27000	28000	60 mg/kg	-	-	-	
Nickel (Ni), mg/kg	51.0	39.0	37.0	38.0	1.0 mg/kg	4.0	100	35	
Lead (Pb), mg/kg	27.0	15.0	16.0	17.0	0.1mg/kg	32.0	530	85	

Table 3: Results of the soil analyses (Samarkand 2)

³ Dutch Standards for Soil and groundwater Contamination (2013)

4.2 Groundwater

Analysis of the collected ground water sample revealed concerning levels of salinity. The concentrations of ions such as sulfates (SO42-) and chlorides (Cl-) were found to considerably surpass established maximum permissible concentrations (MPCs). Water samples were found to be alkaline. Addressing the factors causing such excessive salinization is critical for avoiding environmental hazards and rendering the groundwater untenable for drinking or agricultural irrigation without intensive treatment. Despite elevated chloride and sulfate levels, heavy metal concentrations in all samples remain well below the Maximum Permissible Concentration (MPC), indicating minimal risk of heavy metal pollution. The obtained results are evaluated against the MPC criteria established for water use for fishery purposes, as it represents the most stringent standard and is particularly relevant for comparison in the context of river samples.

	рН	Nitra tes (NO3) mg/l	Chlo ride s (Cl-) mg/	Sulpha tes (SO42-) mg/l)	Am mon ium NH4 - mg/l	Cadm ium (Cd) mg/l	Chro miu m (Cr), mg/l	Coppe r (Cu) mg/l	Lead (Pb) mg/l	Manga nese (Mn), mg/l	Mercury (Hg) mg/l	Nickel (Ni) mg/l	Zinc (Zn) mg/l	Arsen ic (As) mg/l
The lower limit of detection	1-14	0.001	0.5	25-500	0.01	0.000 1	0.00 2	0.002	0.0002	0.0002	Not specified	0.002	0.0002	0.000 1
Sample GW1 (mg/l)	7.52	2	674	947	<0.05	0.000 1	0.01 1	0.0018	0.0002	1.9*10 ⁻ 4	1.3*10 ⁻⁵	0.0022	0.0006 4	0.012
MPC for Irrigation use (mg/l)	6.5-8.5	-	-	-	1.5	-	-	1	0.2	-	-	-	5	0.1
MPC Fishery water use (mg/l)	6.5-8.5	40	300	100	0.5	0.005	-	0.001	0.03	-	-	0,01	0.01	0.05
Dutch intervention Value (mg/l) ⁴	-	-	-	-	-	0.006	0.03	0.075	0.075	-	0.0003	0.075	0.8	0.06

Table 2: Results of water analysis (Samarkand 1)

⁴ Dutch Standards for Soil and groundwater Contamination (2013)

5 Results of soil analyses for BESS area

All three soil samples have a slightly alkaline pH level, not exceeding 8.05. Nitrate concentration is low in samples ranging from 5.20 to 6.47.

The concentration of heavy metals such as nickel (Ni), chromium (Cr), zinc (Zn) and copper (Cu) in all samples exceeds the maximum permissible concentration established by SanPiN No. 0191-05. However, as in other sites, it should be noted that the concentration of these metals remains below internationally acceptable values such as the Dutch Intervention Values. Regarding lead (Pb), sample S5 shows the highest concentration above the national MPC, but the concentration also remains below international limits.

		Locations	5	The lower		Dutch		
Name of parameters	of parameters S4 S5 S6 limit of detection		limit of detection	MPC in mg/kg	intervention Value / Target Value ⁵			
рН	7.80	7.90	8.05	1-14	-	-	-	
Nitrate (NO₃), mg/dm³	5.85	5.20	6.47	0.001 mg/dm3	130.0 (gross content)	-	-	
Sodium (Na), mg/kg	12386	14882	11992	40 mg/kg	-	-	-	
Potassium (K), mg/kg	13992	14412	16035	80 mg/kg	-	-	-	
Zinc (Zn), mg/kg	35.0	273	51.5	1.0 mg/kg	23.0	720	140	
Chromium (Cr), mg/kg	53.3	67.4	54.6	1.0 mg/kg	6.0	180	-	
Cadmium (Cd), mg/kg	0.075	0.144	0.104	0.005 mg/kg	-	13	0.8	
Copper (Cu), mg/kg	19.2	85.0	23.4	1.0 mg/kg	3.0	190	36	
Manganese (Mn), mg/kg	422	377	371	20 mg/kg	1500.0 (gross content)	-	-	
Mercury (Hg), mg/kg	<0.03	<0.03	<0.03	0.03 mg/kg	2.1	36	0.3	
Iron (Fe), mg/kg	19455	19324	21944	60 mg/kg	-	-	-	
Nickel (Ni), mg/kg	27.1	24.6	27.3	1.0 mg/kg	4.0	100	35	
Lead (Pb), mg/kg	25.0	66.0	18.3	0.1mg/kg	32.0	530	85	

Table 5.Results of the soil analyses (BESS area)

⁵ Dutch Standards for Soil and groundwater Contamination (2013)

6 National standards and Maximum Permissible Concentrations (MPC) for soil and water

In accordance with SanPiN № 0191-05 "Maximum permissible concentrations (MPC) and Approximate permittable concentrations of exogenous harmful substances in soil", the MPC of exogenous chemicals in the soils (in mg/kg) is as follows:

According to the general sanitary limiting indicator of harmfulness in mg/kg:									
1. BENZAPIRENE (gross content)	0.02								
2.VANADIUM (gross content)	150.0								
3. Manganese + VANADIUM (gross content)	1000.0								
4. TUNGSTEN (moving forms)	10.0								
5. CELTAN	1.0								
6 COBALT (mobile forms)	5.0								
7. COPPER (mobile forms)	3.0								
8. Molybdenum (mobile forms)	10.0								
9. NICKEL (mobile forms)	4.0								
10. COAL FLOTATION WASTE (OFU) (gross content)	3000.0								
11. LEAD (gross content)	32.0								
12. SULFUR ELEMENTARY (gross content)	160.0								
13. SULFURIC ACID (gross content)	160.0								
14. PHOSPHATES	27.2								
15. FURFUROL	3.0								
16. CHROME (moving forms)	6.0								
by air-migration limiting haz	ard indicator:								
17. ALFAMETHYLSTYROL	0.5								
18. BENZENE	0.3								
19. ISOPROTTILBENZENE	0.5								
20. HYDROGEN SULFUR (gross content)	0.4								

21. STYROL	0.1
22. FORMALDEHYDE	0.7
by water-migration limiting ha	azard indicator:
23. LIQUID COMPLEX FERTILIZERS (gross content)	80.0
24. INTEGRATED GRANULATED FERTILIZERS (gross content)	120.0
25. Manganese: (gross content) (mobile forms)	1500.0 60.0
26. NITRATES (gross content)	130.0
27. POTASSIUM CHLORIDE (gross content)	560.0
by trans locational limiting ha	zard indicator:
28. ACIDS (ortho, -meta, -pa)	0.3
29. ARROW (gross maintenance)	2.0
30. Mercury (gross content)	2.1
31. LEAD + MERCURY (gross content)	20.0+1.0
32. ANTIMONY (gross content)	4.5
33. TOLUOL	0.3
34. FLUORINE (water soluble forms)	10.0
35. ZINC	23.0

able 6. Maximum permissible concentrations of pollutants in water of surface water bodies by categor	/
of use ⁶	

Name of parameters	Fishery water use	Cultural and domesti c water use.	Domesti c Drinking water	Irrigatio n water use
COD, mgO ₂ /dm ³	15	40	30	40
BOD, mgO ₂ /dm ³	3	3-6	3-7	10
рН	6.5-8.5	6.5-8.5	6.5-8.5	6.5-8.5
Total suspended solids mg/dm ³	15	30	30	50

⁶ Source: "Handbook of the Ecologist-Expert". State Committee of the Republic of Uzbekistan on Nature Protection. State Environmental Expertise 2009. Tashkent.

Samarkand Solar 1 ESIA & Samarkand Solar 2 ESIA: Soil and Water Assessment Report

Mineralization mg/dm ³	1000	1000	1000– 1500	1000
Sulphates mg/dm ³	100	500	400-500	
Chloride mg/dm ³	300	350	250-350	
Ammonium nitrogen (NH4+N)	0,5	2	0.5	1.5
Nitrite nitrogen (NO2-N)	0.02	0.5	3	0.5
Nitrate nitrogen (NO3- N)	9.1	25	45	25
Nitrites	0.08	3.3	3	
Nitrates	40	45	45	
Phosphates (PO ₄ ³⁻)	0.3	1	3.5	1
Ether - soluble	0.05	0.8	0.8	0.8
Petroleum products	0.05	0.3	0.1	0.3
Surfactants	0.1	0.5	0.5	0.5
Phenol	0.001	0.001	0.001-0.1	0.001
Fluorine (F)	0.05	1.5	0.7	1
Arsenic (As)	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.1
lron (Fe)	0.05	0.5	0.3-3	5
Chrome (Cr6-)	0.001	0.1	0.05	0.1
Copper (Cu)	0.001	1	1	1
Zinc (Zn)	0.01	1	3	5
Cyanides	0.05	0.1		
Lead (Pb)	0.03	0.1	0.03	0.2
Nickel (Ni)	0.01	0.1	0.1	
Cadmium (Cd)	0.005	0.01		
Cobalt (Co)	0.1	1		
Molybdenum (Mo)	0.0012	0.5	0.25	
Strontium (Sr2+)		2	7	
Selenium (Se)	0.001		0.01	
Rodanids	0.1			
Mercury (Hg)		0.005	0.0005	

Table 7: Dutch Standards for Soil and Groundwater Contamination (20)13)
---	------

	Soil (mg/kg dry matter)		Groundwater (µg/l)		
Parameters	Target value*	Intervention value	Target value	Intervention value	
	Heavy Met	als			
Arsenic	29	76	10	60	
Barium	160	-	50	625	
Cadmium	0.8	13	0.4	6	
Chromium	100	-	1	30	
Chromium III	-	180	-	-	
Chromium IV	-	78	-	-	
Cobalt	-	190	20	100	
Copper	36	190	15	75	
Lead	85	530	15	75	
Mercury	0.3	36 (inorganic) 4 (organic)	0.05	0.3	
Molybdenum	3	190	5	300	
Nickel	35	100	15	75	
Zinc	140	720	65	800	
Aromatic Compounds					
Benzene	0.01	1.1	0.2	30	
Ethyl benzene	0.03	110	4	150	
Toluene	0.01	32	7	1000	
Xylene (sum)	0.1	17	0.2	70	
Styrene (vinyilbenzene)	0.3	86	6	300	
Phenol	0.05	14	0.2	2000	
Cresols (sum)	0.05	13	0.2	200	
Chlorinated Hydrocarbons					
Volatile Hydrocarbons					
monochloroethene (vinyl chloride)	0.01	0.1	0.01	5	
dichloromethane	0.4	3.9	0.01	1,000	
1,1-dichloroethane	0.02	15	7	900	

	Soil (mg/kg dry matter)		Groundwater (µg/l)		
Parameters	Target value*	Intervention value	Target value	Intervention value	
1,2-dichloroethane	0.02	6.4	7	400	
1,1-dichloroethene	0.1	0.3	0.01	10	
1,2-dichloroethene (sum)	-	1	0.01	20	
Dichloropropanes (sum)	-	2	0.8	80	
Trichloromethane (chloroform)	0.02	5.6	6	400	
1,1,1-trichloroethane	0.07	15	0.01	300	
1,1,2-trichloroethane	0.4	10	0.01	130	
Trichloroethene (Tri)	0.1	2.5	24	500	
Tetrachloromethane (Tetra)	0.4	0.7	0.01	10	
Tetrachloroethene (Per)	0.002	8.8	0.01	40	
Chlorobenzenes					
Monochlorobenzene	-	15	7	180	
Dichlorobenzenes (sum)	-	19	3	50	
Trichlorobenzenes (sum)	-	11	0.01	10	
Tetrachlorobenzenes (sum)	-	2.2	0.01	2.5	
Pentachlorobenzene	-	6.7	0.003	1	
Hexachlorobenzene	-	2.0	0.00009	0.5	
Chlorophenols					
Monochlorophenols (sum)	-	5.4	0.3	100	
Dichlorophenols (sum)	-	22	0.2	30	
Trichlorophenols (sum)	-	22	0.03	10	
Tetrachlorphenols (sum)	-	21	0.01	10	
Pentachlorophenol	-	12	0.04	3	
Source: Soil Remediation Circular 2013, (*Target values for soil refer to 2000 version as they are not					
present in the 2013)					

Note: The soil values are calculated for a 'Standard Soil' with 10% organic matter and 25% clay. A case of environmental contamination is defined as 'serious' if >25 m³ soil or >100 m³ groundwater is contaminated above the intervention value. Where contaminants are found to exceed 'intervention' levels, this is considered to be a case of soil contamination, which is dangerous to the health of humans and the natural environment. Such a level of contamination should prompt a need for remediation, appropriate treatment and disposal.

7 Annex 1. Pictures of the soil and water sampling







ESIA: Soil and Water assessment report Samarkand Solar 1. Samarkand Solar 2 ESIA



Sampling of groundwater GW1



ESIA: Soil and Water assessment report Samarkand Solar 1. Samarkand Solar 2 ESIA



Sampling of groundwater GW2



1.

Samarkand Solar 1 ESIA

Noise and

air monitoring report

Consulting Firm:

Juru

Juru Ltd Suite 1, One George Yard, London, United Kingdom, EC3V 9DF www.juruenergy.com

Prepared for:



5 Capitals Environmental and Management Consulting Principal office: PO Box 119899 Sheikh Zayed Road, Dubai, UAE www. 5capitals.com.

November 2023

Table of Contents

1.	Introduction
2.	Measurement methodology5
2.1.	Noise5
2.2.	Air quality5
3.	Measurement equipment5
4.	Monitoring results
4.1.	Summary table noise6
4.2.	Summary table results - AQ6
4.3.	Summary graph results6
5.	Conclusion13
5.1.	Noise13
5.2.	Air quality13
6.	Annex 1 Certificates of equipment15
Cert	ficate of calibrated air monitoring system17

Table of Figures

Figure 1: Noise and air monitoring locations	4
Figure 3: Variations of noise levels during daytime	8
Figure 3: Air quality data NA 1	10
Figure 4: Noise equipment at NA 1	10
Figure 5: Additional sources of noise at NA 1	10
Figure 6: Installed noise equipment at Noise 2	11
Figure 7: Background noise sources at Noise 2	11
Figure 8: Installed noise equipment at Noise 3	11
Figure 9: Background noise sources at Noise 3	12
Figure 10: Air monitoring system at NA 1	12
Figure 11: Background (chemical factory)	12

Table of Tables

Table 1: Location of nearest sensitive receptors	5
Table 2: The measurement equipment used for noise monitoring	5
Table 3: The measurement equipment used for air monitoring	5
Table 4: Summary of average noise values for 24 hr measurement per location, dBA	6
Table 5: 15-minute average concentrations for 24 hr measurement per location (in $\mu g/m3$)	6

1. Introduction

In order to characterise the sound and air profile of the area of the proposed development to support future monitoring obligations or noise grievances, a continuous environmental noise and air quality (AQ) monitoring was carried out from the 15 September 2023 to 17 September 2023 (NA1, Noise 2, Noise 3) at 3 nearest sensitive receptors (NSRs) for daytime and night-time noise (24hr). In addition wind speed and direction, were also measured.

Three monitoring locations were chosen based on proximity to the proposed Solar PV and BESS area and to provide representative conditions for the NSR that may be affected by the Project. AQ and noise monitoring locations were at the same places (Figure 1).



Figure 1: Noise and air monitoring locations

2. Measurement methodology

2.1. Noise

For the noise measurement an unattended noise meter Class 1 (Type 1) per IEC 61672-1. It was placed in the vicinity of NSR's, at 1.7 m above the ground level with no nearby reflective surfaces in minimum 5 m distance. The following parameters were recorded: LAeq, LAmax, LAmin, LA₁₀, LA₉₀. The LAeq level is the equivalent continuous sound pressure level over at the measurement period 10 minutes. LAmax is an indicator of the highest sound level during the measurement period; the LAmin is the lowest level during the measurement period; LA90 is used as a descriptor of background noise levels and LA10 is the noise level which is achieved for 10% of the monitoring period and is often used to describe road traffic noise.

2.2. Air quality

For the air measurement AQ Mesh was used. It was placed in the vicinity of NSR's, at 2.5 m above the ground level with no nearby reflective surfaces in minimum 15 m distance. The following parameters were recorded: carbon monoxide (CO), sulphur dioxide (SO2), nitrogen oxide (NO), nitrogen dioxide (NO2), particular matter (PM2.5, PM10). The characteristics of the NSR's are described in Table 1.

Location	Description	Coordinates Latitude	Coordinates Longitude
NA 1	Farmer's building	39.570837°	66.734426°
Noise 2	Residential building	39.549182°	66.671436°
Noise 3	Residential building	39.444964°	65.993682°

Table 1: Location of nearest sensitive receptors

3. Measurement equipment

The following equipment was use for the monitoring exercise.

Table 2: The measurement equipment used for noise monitoring

ltem	Meter Model	Serial Number
Sound Level Meter	Rion NL 52	00410152
Calibrator	Calibrator Rion NL 75	34313059
Microphone	All-weather windscreen WS-15	Does not have SN

Table 3: The measurement equipment used for air monitoring

ltem	Meter Model
Air quality monitoring system	AQ Mesh
Accumulator	Solar panel pack
Anemometer	Scarlet Tech anemometer

All equipment used during the survey was field calibrated at the start and end of the measurement period with a negligible deviation of \leq 0.5 dB. Sound meter fitted with a protective windshield for the entire measurements period. UKAS certificates are attached in Annex.

4. Monitoring results

4.1. Summary table noise

The data provided in Table 4 includes measurements of noise levels at N1, N2, N3 during two-time intervals: 07:00-23:00 (daytime) and 23:00-07:00 (night-time). The measurements were taken at 10-minute intervals and are reported in decibels (dBA).

		daytime	Time	LAgg	LAmov	I Amin	1 4 1 0	1 4 10	1 4 10	1 4 9 0	1 4 9 9	1 4 9 0
Location	Date	night- time	Period Interval	avg	average	(average),	min	avg	max	min	avg	max
NA1	14-15 September	07:00- 23:00	10 min	45,19	62,93	33,89	40,40	45,05	57,90	29,40	37,22	46,70
		23:00- 07:00		47,38	60,87	39,65	46,10	49,13	55,40	41,30	43,60	46,00
	15-16	07:00- 23:00	10	41,42	56,45	34,97	32,70	42,74	56,50	29,00	37,53	51,40
NOISez	September	23:00- 07:00		45,28	55,21	36,38	41,80	47,72	56,20	36,00	40,37	max 46,70 46,00 51,40 43,40 64,20 45,60
NaisaQ	16-17	07:00- 23:00	10	43,84	58,30	32,75	27,80	45,34	67,10	22,80	36,78	64,20
NUISES	September	23:00- 07:00		42,73	53,71	35,56	33,70	44,70	53,30	29,70	38,26	45,60

Table 4: Summary of average noise values for 24 hr measurement per location, dBA

4.2. Summary table results - AQ

The data provided in Table 5 summarises the average and maximum concentrations at AQ1 for each parameter CO, CO₂, SO₂, NO, NO₂, PM_{2.5}, PM₁₀. measured in μ g/m³ over a 24 hours period at 15-minute intervals and displayed for daytime and night-time (07:00-23:00 and 23:00-07:00),

Table 5: 15-minute average concentrations for 24 hr measurement per location (*in* $\mu g/m3$)

		Time	со	CO ₂	NO	NO ₂	NOx	SO ₂	PM _{2.5}	PM 10
Location	ocation Date	Period	avg	avg	avg	avg	avg	avg	avg	avg
NA 1	14-15 September	15 min	7,50	709,72	0	20,52	0	0	9,42	47,00

4.3. Summary graph results

The following figure provide a graphical representation of results over 24 hour period.







Figure 2: Variations of noise levels during daytime





Figure 3: Air quality data NA 1



Figure 4: Noise equipment at NA 1



Figure 5: Additional sources of noise at NA 1



Figure 6: Installed noise equipment at Noise 2



Figure 7: Background noise sources at Noise 2



Figure 8: Installed noise equipment at Noise 3

Figure 9: Background noise sources at Noise 3



Figure 10: Air monitoring system at NA 1



Figure 11: Background (chemical factory)

39.552794°	66.795432°

5.1.Noise

The table presents noise measurements captured at different times of the day (daytime and nighttime) in 10-minute intervals for three locations: NA1, Noise2, and Noise3, during the dates of September 14th to 17th.

During the daytime (07:00-23:00), the average equivalent continuous sound level (LAeq) varied across the locations: NA1 ranged from 41.42 dB to 45.19 dB, Noise2 from 41.42 dB to 43.84 dB, and Noise3 from 43.84 dB to 45.19 dB. Comparatively, during the nighttime (23:00-07:00), the LAeq values showed slightly higher readings across all locations, reaching a range from 42.73 dB to 47.38 dB for NA1, 45.28 dB to 47.72 dB for Noise2, and 42.73 dB to 47.38 dB for Noise3.

The maximum sound levels (LAmax) also varied across these time periods and locations. NA1 experienced LAmax ranging from 53.71 dB to 62.93 dB during daytime and from 53.71 dB to 60.87 dB at night. For Noise2, LAmax ranged from 55.21 dB to 56.45 dB in the day and from 53.71 dB to 55.21 dB at night. Meanwhile, Noise3 recorded LAmax values between 58.30 dB and 67.10 dB in the day and between 53.71 dB and 56.45 dB at night.

The values for LAmin (average) were observed at lower levels. NA1 exhibited LAmin values ranging from 32.75 dB to 39.65 dB in the daytime and from 32.75 dB to 35.56 dB at night. Noise2 reported LAmin values between 32.75 dB and 36.38 dB in the day and from 32.75 dB to 35.56 dB at night. Noise3 displayed LAmin values ranging from 32.75 dB to 36.38 dB in the day and between 32.75 dB and 35.56 dB at night.

The LA10 values (minimum noise levels exceeded for 10% of the period) showcased fluctuations. For instance, NA1 displayed daytime LA10 ranging from 27.80 dB to 46.10 dB and nighttime values from 29.70 dB to 46.00 dB. Noise2 had daytime LA10 ranging from 27.80 dB to 41.80 dB and nighttime values from 29.70 dB to 43.40 dB. Meanwhile, Noise3 demonstrated daytime LA10 ranging from 27.80 dB to 46.10 dB and nighttime values from 29.70 dB to 46.10 dB and nighttime values from 29.70 dB to 46.00 dB.

5.2. Air quality

The air measurement data gathered at location NA 1 between September 14th and 15th provides an insight into various pollutant levels. The measurements are taken at 15-minute intervals, averaging different air pollutants.

Carbon monoxide (CO) levels were recorded at an average of 7.50 μ g/m³ during this period. Carbon dioxide (CO2) levels stood at an average of 709.72 μ g/m³. Nitric oxide (NO) levels were not detected during this time frame, indicating its absence in the monitored air. Nitrogen dioxide (NO2) levels averaged at 20.52 μ g/m³, contributing to the overall nitrogen oxides (NOx) level in the atmosphere. Sulfur dioxide (SO2) was not observed, indicating a lack of its presence in the air samples.

Particulate matter (PM) levels were measured as PM2.5 and PM10, both in micrograms per cubic meter. PM2.5 levels, representing fine particles, averaged at 9.42 μ g/m³. Meanwhile, PM10, encompassing coarser particles, stood at an average of 47.00 μ g/m³.

The data indicates relatively low levels of some pollutants such as NO and SO2, while CO2 and PM levels are within a moderate range. However, the presence of CO and NO2 might warrant further investigation or monitoring to understand their sources and potential implications for air quality and public health in the area



3:2013, for the environmental conditions under which the tests were performed. As evidence was publicly available, from an independent testing organisation responsible for approving the results of patternevaluation tests performed in accordance with IEC 61672-2:2013, to demonstrate that the model of sound level meter fully conformed to the class 1 specifications in IEC 61672-1:2013, the sound level meter submitted for testing conforms to the class 1 specifications of IEC 61672-1:2013.

Previous Certificate	Dated	Certificate No.	Laboratory		
	29 June 2021	UCRT21/1801	0653		
This certificate is issued	in accordance with the	laboratory accreditation	requirements of the United Kingdom		
Accreditation Service. It measurement realised at certificate may not be repr	provides traceability of the National Physical La roduced other than in full, e	measurement to the SI boratory or other recognis except with the prior writter	system of units and/or to units of sed national metrology institutes. This approval of the issuing laboratory.		

15

UKAS Accredited Calibration Laboratory No. 0653

Certificate Number UCRT23/1245								
Page	2	of	2	Pages				

Sound Level Meter In	title NI 5	2/NI 42	Desc	ription f	for IEC	61672	-1	is inu	icateu.			
SLW Instruction manual	rof/iccuo	2/1112-42	Desc	In 5603	34 21-0	13	Source	Rion				
Dete provided or intern	at download do	to		10 More	oh 202	1	Course	1 don				
Date provided or intern	Case Corre	etions	Wine	Shield	Corre	ctions	Mic Press	ure to	Free F	ield C	orrection	
Incertainties provided Yes		VVIIIC	V	as	cuona							
Incertainties provided tes				s of IEC	6167	2-1.20	13 YES	-	100			
Specified or equivalent	Calibrator	erequin	ement	Sper	rified	2-1.20						
Customer or Lab Calibr	ator			Lab Ca	librato	r						
Calibrator adaptor type if applicable				NC-7	4-002	÷						
Calibrator cal. date	il applicable		1	6 Febru	ary 20	23						
Calibrator cert, number	,			UCR	T23/1	227						
Calibrator cal cert issue	ed by Lab			06	53	202.0						
Calibrator SPL @ STP				94.04 dB			Calibration reference sound pressure level					
Calibrator SPE @ STP			1001 97 Hz			Calibration check frequency						
Deference lovel renge			Single dB			Canal and the first inservices						
Accessories used or co	rected for duri	na calib	ration	ongie	Exten	sion Ca	able & Wind S	Shield	WS-15			
Note - The Extension (able was used	hetwee	n the	SLM an	d the	pre-am	o for this calib	oration				
Environmental conditio	ns during tests			Start		I	End					
Environmentar contaite	Temperatur	20		23.64		-	22.59	+	0.30	°C	1	
	Humidity	<u> </u>		39.9	<u></u>		40.0	+	3.00	%RH		
	Ambient Pr	accura		100.84	1		100.84	+	0.03	kPa	6	
Indication at the Calibr	ation Check Fre	auency		100.01				-	0.00	N U		
Indication at the Galipie		queries	dD	r	Adia	ucted in	diasted loval		04.0		dB	
Initial Indicated le	veil 94.0	ation at	the C	alibratio	Auju No Che	ck Erec	ulcaleu level	0 10	_	dB		
Concertainty of calibrato		auonat	ule C	andrauc		CKTIC	quericy ±		0.10		ub j	
Sell Generated Noise	Loss Than	1 16	2.0		Main	hting	l l					
Microphone installed -	ith electrical in		0.9		IID -	Under	Papas indica	hot	1			
Microphone replaced w	Ath electrical in	put devi	ce -			Under	Range indica	7	1	1		
	n											
weighting	44.0 10	Lup	-	F.0		lup	01.4		Lup	1		

Self Generated Noise reported for information only and not used to assess conformance to a requirement

Certificate of calibrated air monitoring system



Tel. +44 (0)1789 207459 Email. info@aqmesh.com www.agmesh.com



This is to certify that the sensors: NO: 160410106 NO2: 202761658 CO2: 0330FF15 SO2: 164041109 CO: 162941352 AQMesh pod 2450963 have been calibrated against certified reference equipment for the following measurements: Thermo Scientific 42i NO, NO2 CO2 Comparison to AQMesh Gold pod, created via co-location with Licor CO2 analyser **SO2** Thermo Scientific 43i CO **Ecotech Serinus 30**

This is to certify that the AQMesh pod 2450963 has passed end of line testing for the following measurements:

PM 10, PM 2.5, PM 1, Particle count Fidas 200

Date of manufacture:

25th August 2022

Richard Handy Operations Manager

